

1 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
 BRUCE A. ERICSON #76342  
 2 DAVID L. ANDERSON #149604  
 JACOB R. SORESENSEN #209134  
 3 MARC H. AXELBAUM #209855  
 DANIEL J. RICHERT #232208  
 4 50 Fremont Street  
 Post Office Box 7880  
 5 San Francisco, CA 94120-7880  
 Telephone: (415) 983-1000  
 6 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200

7 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP  
 DAVID W. CARPENTER (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 8 DAVID LEE LAWSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 BRADFORD A. BERENSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 9 EDWARD R. MCNICHOLAS (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 ERIC A. SHUMSKY #206124  
 10 1501 K Street, N.W.  
 Washington, D.C. 20005  
 11 Telephone: (202) 736-8010  
 12 Facsimile: (202) 736-8711

13 Attorneys for the AT&T Defendants

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 In re:

18 NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY  
 19 TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS  
 20 LITIGATION

21 This Document Relates To:

22 ALL CASES

MDL Dkt. No. 06-1791-VRW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
 ORDER REGARDING NEW CASES  
 AGAINST AT&T DEFENDANTS**

[Civil L.R. 6-2, 7-1(5), 7-12]

Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor  
 Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker

1 **RECITALS**

2 A. On November 7, 2006, in order to provide, *inter alia*, for “coordinated  
3 management of the transferred cases,” Plaintiffs filed their Joint and Agreed Organization  
4 Plan (“Organization Plan”). Dkt. 58, at 1. The Organization Plan was intended to govern  
5 not only “all actions currently transferred in this MDL proceeding” but also “any and all  
6 tag-along cases that may be subsequently transferred to this Court or otherwise coordinated  
7 as part of this proceedings,” which we shall refer to as a “New Case.” *Id.* The  
8 Organization Plan, however, does not expressly provide for the treatment of such New  
9 Cases with regard to existing scheduling orders and stipulations.

10 B. On November 22, 2006, the Court issued Pretrial Order No. 1, which  
11 designated counsel pursuant to the Organization Plan. Dkt. 79.

12 C. Conditional Transfer Order (CTO-6) of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict  
13 Litigation transferred *Jacobs v. AT&T Corp.*, S.D. Florida No. 0:07-60365-CIV-ZLOCH to  
14 this Court. Dkt. 247. CTO-6 became final on April 9, 2007. *Jacobs* names AT&T Corp.;  
15 “American Telephone and Telegraph Company”; BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.;  
16 Cingular Wireless, LLC; Verizon Communications, Inc.; Verizon Florida, Inc.; and Does 1-  
17 20, as defendants. On agreed and unopposed motion, the U.S. District Court for the  
18 Southern District of Florida had previously ordered *Jacobs* stayed pending transfer to this  
19 MDL. Order of March 22, 2007, *Jacobs v. AT&T Corp.*, S.D. Florida No. 0:07-60365-  
20 CIV-ZLOCH, Dkt. 4.

21 D. Additional New Cases may subsequently be transferred to this Court or  
22 otherwise coordinated as part of this MDL proceeding.

23 E. The AT&T Defendants<sup>1</sup> are party to and the subject of various stipulations  
24 in this MDL, which would be unduly burdensome to renegotiate for each New Case.

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 <sup>1</sup> “AT&T Defendants” refers to all of the defendants that are corporate affiliates of AT&T  
27 Inc. (including Cingular (now AT&T Mobility) and BellSouth (now AT&T Southeast)), as  
28 defined in footnotes 3 and 5 of the previously filed Joint Case Management Statement, Dkt.  
61, at 2. “AT&T Defendants” also includes any corporate affiliates of these defendants  
named in any New Case (as defined in recital A) added to this MDL after the date of this  
Stipulation.

1 F. Substantial administrative burden may result if each New Case is subject to  
2 conflicting stipulations and orders, and the parties seek to minimize such burdens on the  
3 Court and themselves.

4 **STIPULATION**

5 Plaintiffs and the AT&T Defendants hereby stipulate as follows:

6 1. Subject to any party's right to seek relief pursuant to paragraph 4 below,  
7 each New Case (as defined in recital A) against any or all of the AT&T Defendants will be  
8 subject to all relevant existing stipulations of the parties, as well as all existing case  
9 management, stay, and other similar procedural orders issued by this Court.

10 2. This stipulation does not affect any claims in any New Case against non-  
11 AT&T Defendants, nor any cases in which the United States is a plaintiff or a state  
12 governmental entity is a party.

13 3. The AT&T Defendants shall respond to the complaint in any New Case,  
14 including, but not limited to, *Jacobs*, in accordance with the terms and conditions of this  
15 Court's Orders of February 22, 2007, March 14, 2007, and March 20, 2007, together with  
16 such further related Orders as this Court may issue in the future. Dkts. 177, 199 and 209.

17 4. By entering into this Stipulation, no party waives any procedural or  
18 substantive defenses, rights or objections, except as previously stipulated by the parties.

19 5. Any party may challenge the application of this Stipulation to any New Case  
20 for good cause shown through administrative motion brought pursuant to Civil Local Rule  
21 7-11.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

I, MARC H. AXELBAUM, hereby declare pursuant to General Order 45, § X.B,

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION  
CINDY COHN (145997)  
LEE TIEN (148216)  
KURT OPSAHL (191303)  
KEVIN S. BANKSTON (217026)  
CORYNNE MCSHERRY (221504)  
JAMES S. TYRE (083117)  
454 Shotwell Street  
San Francisco, CA 94110  
Telephone: (415) 436-9333  
Fax: (415) 436-9993

By /s/ Cindy Cohn per G.O. 45  
Cindy Cohn

Attorneys for AT&T Class Plaintiffs and Co-Chair of Plaintiffs' Executive Committee

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

2 Pursuant to the foregoing Stipulation, and good cause appearing, the Court orders  
3 the following:

4 1. Each further “Tag-Along” and other case transferred to this Court or  
5 otherwise coordinated as part of this MDL proceeding (a “New Case”) against any or all of  
6 the AT&T Defendants will be subject to all relevant existing case management, stay, and  
7 other similar procedural orders issued by this Court.

8 2. This stipulated Order does not affect any claims in any New Case against  
9 non-AT&T Defendants, nor any cases in which the United States is a plaintiff or a state  
10 governmental entity is a party.

11 3. The AT&T Defendants shall respond to the complaint in any New Case,  
12 including, but not limited to, *Jacobs v. AT&T Corp.*, S.D. Florida No. 0:07-60365, in  
13 accordance with the terms and conditions of this Court’s Orders of February 22, 2007,  
14 March 14, 2007, and March 20, 2007, together with such further related Orders as this  
15 Court may issue in the future. Dkts. 177, 199 and 209.

16 4. By entering into this Stipulation, no party waives any procedural or  
17 substantive defenses, rights or objections, except as previously stipulated by the parties.

18 5. Any party may challenge the application of this Stipulation to any New Case  
19 for good cause shown through administrative motion brought pursuant to Civil Local Rule  
20 7-11.

21 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22 Dated: April \_\_, 2007.

23  
24 

---

Hon. Vaughn R. Walker  
25 United States District Chief Judge  
26  
27  
28